

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7071
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 07-796-JSW
Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
v.) EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
JULIO CESAR REBOLLAR)
a/k/a Julio Nevarro-Rebollar,)
a/k/a Javier Reyes Hernandez,)
Defendant.)

On December 19, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that time from December 19, 2007 through January 3, 2008 should be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations because defense counsel needs adequate time to review discovery, which government counsel will produce. The parties represented to the Court that the length of the requested continuance was the reasonable amount of time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §

1 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by this continuance
2 outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §
3 3161(h)(8)(A).

4

5 SO STIPULATED:

6

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
United States Attorney

7

8 DATED: January 3, 2008

9

/s/
TAREK J. HELOU
Assistant United States Attorney

10

11 DATED: January 3, 2008

12

/s/
DANIEL BLANK
Attorney for Defendant JUAN HERRERA-SANTOS

13

14

15 As the Court found on December 19, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds
16 that the ends of justice served by the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the
17 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The Court also finds that time from December 19,
18 2007 through January 3, 2008 shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations for effective
19 preparation of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Failing to grant the requested
20 continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into
21 account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §
22 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

23

24 SO ORDERED.

25

26 DATED: January 10, 2008

27

THE HONORABLE MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge

28